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## A FURTHER STUDY OF VARIATION IN THE GOPHER-SNAKES OF WESTERN NORTH AMERICA

BY<br>JOHN VAN DENBURGH<br>Curator, Department of Herpetology

In August of last year the writer and Joseph R. Slevin published the results of a study of some three hundred specimens of Pituophis from western North America ${ }^{1}$. Probably no one who has not undertaken such a study can realize the amount of time and effort necessary for gathering, analyzing, digesting, and formulating the data derived from such a mass of material as was involved in our earlier study of the garter-snakes of the genus Thamnophis. The time and effort expended were so great that in the subsequent study of Pituophis the attempt was made to reach conclusions without so much attention to detail. The result was that, while the conclusions reached were valuable and probably correct, as far as they went, they never have been satisfactory as a solution of the problems in variation and distribution offered by this genus. Further study of the data published in that paper indicated the probability that the snakes

[^0]we had regarded as one subspecies, and called Pituophis catenifer annectens, were not all alike, but represented several centers of geographic variation. It has seemed important to determine whether this actually is so, and whether conclusions more satisfactory than those published could be attained by further study of the group.

Such study required additional data. The color patterns of these snakes had not been considered in our former investigation for the reason that published records seemed to show so much variation as to render them valueless as a means of classification. Investigation of them now, however, shows that they do afford valuable data. Individual variation is great, but so are the average differences found in several geographic areas. The whole subject has been reopened, and the results of additional study are given in the present paper.

Reverting to the former paper, it may be recalled that it was there shown that the gopher-snakes of western North America may be divided into two groups by the number of their gastrosteges. This is indicated in the following diagram, figure 1 , in which the upper curve represents the gastrostege counts in the snakes we called Pituophis catenifer catenifer, while the lower curve shows the same data concerning those regarded as Pituophis catenifer annectens:


Fig. 1
As one proceeds south and east from the cool north coast regions toward the warm south coast and interior desert regions, the average counts gradually increase. Although the transition is gradual, these gastrostege counts are of great use in the separation of these snakes into the two groups which we then regarded as two subspecies.

This same difference and relationship are shown when the combined gastrostege and urostege counts are charted for the two groups, as has been done in figure 2. In this chart the


Fig. 2
upper curve (1) represents the counts in the snakes we called $P$. catenifer catenifer, the middle curve (2) those for $P$. catenifer annectens, and the lower curve (3) the same counts for $P$. vertebralis. That there is a very real difference between the first group and the others is evident.

Turning now to a consideration of the number of dorsal blotches between the head and tail (at a point over the anus), we find that the snakes which have fewer gastrosteges are not all alike. Those from near the coast have more blotches than those from the interior. The same is true of the snakes with more numerous gastrosteges. The coast snakes have more numerous blotches than those from the interior. Figure 3 shows the number of blotches on the body in gopher-snakes from several geographical areas. The upper two curves (1 and 2) represent the snakes with fewer gastrosteges, which we called Pituophis catenifer catenifer. It will be seen that there is a marked difference between these two curves. The upper curve (1) represents the snakes from the coast valleys and ranges from Oregon to Santa Barbara County, California. The second curve (2) shows the number of blotches in the snakes of this group from the Klamath-Modoc region, the Sacramento Valley, the western slope of the Sierra Nevada,
and the northern portions of the San Joaquin. The difference between these two curves points to the necessity of recognizing two subspecies here, a coast race, $P$. catenifer catenifer, and an interior race, $P$. catenifer heermanni.

The third, fourth, fifth, and sixth curves charted in this figure 3 represent respectively snakes (3) from the San Diegan Fauna, (4) from the desert regions of California, the southern San Joaquin Valley and Nevada, (5) from Utah, and (6)


Fig. 3
from Arizona. Curve 3 shows that the snakes from the coast of southern California have more blotches than those of any of the other regions where the gastrosteges are numerous. In this respect these snakes are like those from the northern coast, $P$. catenifer catenifer, from which, however, they differ in other respects. The difference between these snakes and those represented by the other curves necessitates recognition as a distinct subspecies, $P$. catenifer annectens, using this name in a restricted sense.

The sixth curve, representing the snakes of Arizona, also shows marked difference from all of the others of this group. The number of blotches is much reduced and is similar to that found in Pituophis vertebralis, shown in the seventh curve. It would seem that the Arizona snakes also are entitled to subspecific rank.

This leaves curves 4 and 5 , which agree with each other and with curve 2. Bearing in mind the fact that the snakes of curve 2 ( $P$. c. hcermanni) belong to the group having fewer gastrosteges, it seems necessary to regard those represented by curves 4 and 5 as deserving separate standing.

It will be shown later that the snakes of Utah (curve 5) differ from those represented by curve 4 . For the latter, the name $P$. catenifer deserticola would seem to be available.

The number of blotches on the tail in these various races has been charted in figure 4 . The same differences and relationships as are indicated by the blotches on the body are shown by these data.

Turning now to a consideration of the scale-rows we find that the greatest number present on the body, although subject to great individual variation, also shows geographic variation. This is brought out in figure 5. Curves 1 and 2, representing P. c. catenifer and P. c. heermanni, agree in showing 31 rows as the most frequent number. The Arizona specimens (curve 6) and those of P.c. annectens (3), and P.c.deserticola (4) agree, and show 33 as the most frequent number. $P$. vertebralis (curve 7) stands by itself in having 35 rows as the usual ulumber. At the other extreme stand the Utah (curve 5) specimens, of which only 25 per cent have as many as 31 rows, while the majority have only 29. These Utah snakes are thus quite different from typical P. c. deserticola.
In figure 6 are charted the number of urosteges in specimens of these several races. Curves 1 and 3 are of interest as showing that $P$. c. annectens (3) usually has more urosteges than P.c. catenifor or any of the other races. Curve 4 indicates a reduction in the number of urosteges in P. c. descrticola as compared with Utah specimens and P. c. heermami.

In work upon groups such as this, where individual variation is so great as almost to conceal geographic differences, I have found that the latter may be thrown into greater relief by what



Fig. 5


Fig. 6

Fig. 7

I have called a summation of characters. Individual variation in any one specimen rarely affects greatly all of the characters involved in geographic variation. Therefore, by adding together, or subtracting various characters showing geographic differences, the factors of individual variation are proportionally reduced or buried, and geographical variations are less concealed.

Figure 7 represents this principle as applied to certain characters of the gopher-snakes. The number of dorsal and caudal blotches together has been subtracted from the number of gastrosteges for each specimen. Certain differences between the subspecies become at once evident. P. c. annectens (curve 3) stands out as very distinct from P. c. deserticola (4) and the snakes of Arizona and Utah (5 and 6). P.c. heermanni (2) is also clearly different from P.c. deserticola (4). The Arizona snakes (6) plainly are not like those of Utah (5), nor like the Lower Californian $P$. vertebralis (curve 7).

Still other differences between various subspecies exist but have not been charted. Thus, the snakes of Utah and Arizona most frequently have but one preocular, while the other races usually have two. In $P$. vertebralis the supralabials usually are nine, while eight is the number most frequent in the other races.

It seems, then, that instead of the three kinds of gophersnakes which have been recognized by authors for many years, the facts will be best set forth by according recognition to seven kinds from western North America. The chief differences between these may be briefly set forth in the following table of averages. The complete scale-counts and localities are given in the earlier paper. The counts of the blotches are given here under the head of each subspecies with the numbers of the specimens and of the localities as in the former paper.
TABLE OF AVERAGE DIFFERENCES IN PITUOPHIS

|  | catenifer | heermanni | annectens | deserticola | stejnegeri | rutilus | vertebralis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Specimens | 103 | 28 | 69 | 28 | 29 | 16 | 15 |
| Average No. of Gastrosteges | $0^{2} 217$ ¢ 220 | $0^{7219} \quad \bigcirc 224$ | $0^{\circ} 2288231$ | $0^{2} 234 \quad \% 239$ | $0^{2} 233$ ¢ 235 | $\sigma^{7} 227$ ¢ 237 | 244 |
| Urosteges. | $0^{7} 69 \% 64$ | $\bigcirc^{7} 688$ ¢ 60 | -7 76 \% 70 | $0^{7} 64$ \% 59 | $8^{\circ} 66$ \% 60 | $0^{7} 63 \quad 957$ | 62 |
| Scale-rows. | 31.1 | 31.3 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 29 | 32.1 | 34 |
| Preoculars. | 2-2 | 2-2 | 2-2 | 2-2 | 1-1 | 1-1 | 2-2 |
| Supralabials. | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Body blotches. | 70 | 58 | 74 | 56 | 58 | 46 | 44 |
| Tail blotches. | 21.4 | 15 | 22.8 | 15.4 | 16.5 | 12.5 | 11 |

Where individual variation is so great in races which integrade and are so closely related, it probably is impossible to make a "key" which will properly refer all specimens. However, it is believed that the following synopsis will serve this purpose for most individual specimens, and perhaps for all series of specimens from one locality.

## Synopsis of Species and Subspecies

a. Coloration on anterior half of body not largely red.
b. The number of gastrosteges less the number of dorsal blotches on body and tail rarely exceeds 151 .
c. Gastrosteges fewer ( 200 to 230 ), average fewer than 225 ; urosteges fewer ( 51 to 80 ), average in males 70, females 63; scale-rows usually ( 70 to $75 \%$ ) not more than 31 .
d. Dorsal blotches more numerous on body ( 56 to 93 , average 70 ) and tail (14 to 30, average 21.4).
P. c. catenifer.-p. 13
dd. Dorsal blotches fewer on body ( 48 to 70 , average 57.6 ) and tail (13 to 19, average 15).
P. c. heermanni.-p. 16
cc. Gastrosteges more numerous ( 217 to 243 ), average more than 225 ; urosteges more numerous ( 62 to 85), average in males 76, females 70 ; scale-rows usually ( $63 \%$ ) more than 31.

Dorsal blotches numerous on body ( 54 to 89, average 74) and tail (14 to 29, average 22.8).
P. c. annectens.-p. 17
bb . The number of gastrosteges less the number of dorsal blotches on body and tail usually exceeds 151 ; gastrosteges more than 220.
e. The sum of the number of scale-rows and of preoculars on both sides of head rarely exceeds 33 ; usually one preocular.
P.c. stejnegeri.-p. 21
ee. The sum of the number of scale-rows and of preoculars on both sides of head usually exceeds 33 .
f. The sum of the number of caudal blotches and preoculars of both sides of head usually exceeds 16; usually two preoculars; posterior dorsal blotches not distinctly reddish.
P. c. deserticola.-p. 19
ff. The sum of the number of caudal blotches and preoculars of both sides of head rarely exceeds 16 ; usually one preocular; posterior dorsal blotches often distinctly reddish or red-brown.

$$
\text { P. c. rutilus.-p. } 24
$$

aa. Coloration on anterior half of body largely red. Gastrosteges 233 to 257 ; scales usually in 35 or 33 rows; dorsal blotches few (average 44 on body, 11 on tail); supralabials usually nine or more.
P. vertebralis.-p. 27

## Pituophis catenifer catenifer (Blainville)

Coast Gopher-Snake

Diagnosis.-Gastrosteges average fewer than 225 ; urosteges average 64 to 70 ; scale-rows most frequently 31 ; preoculars usually two ; supralabials most frequently eight ; dorsal blotches numerous, average on body 70 , on tail 21.4 ; no red in coloration.

## Type locality.-California.

Distribution.-The Coast Gopher-Snake occupies a rather narrow strip of territory along the Pacific Coast of the United States from Puget Sound to Santa Barbara County, California. The eastern limit of its range in the far north is not known, but does not include eastern Washington.

In southern Oregon, it occurs near Roseburg and in the Camas Mountains, in Douglas County, but not near Klamath Falls, Klamath County, where it is replaced by $P$. catenifer heermanni.

In California, it occupies the coast ranges and valleys east to the western edges of the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys, where it intergrades with and, farther east, is replaced by, $P$. catenifer heermanni in the north and $P$. catenifer deserticola in the south. Still farther south, it probably intergrades with $P$. catenifer annectens in Santa Barbara or Ventura County. It has been taken in Siskiyou (Ft. Jones, Callahan, Mt. Shasta), Humboldt (Garberville), Trinity (Yolla Bolly Mountain), Mendocino (ten miles south from Willits), Lake (Middletown, Kelseyville, Lower Lake), Sonoma (Petaluma, Duncan Mills, Guerneville, Monte Rio), Napa (Napa), Solano (Buddha Canyon), Marin (Inverness, Point Reyes Station, Mailliard, Mt. Tamalpais, Lagunitas, Manzanita, San Anselmo), Contra Costa (Walnut Creek, Antioch, Contra Costa, San Pablo Valley, Mt. Diablo, Moraga Valley), Alameda (Berkeley, Oakland, Hayward), San Francisco, Santa Clara (Palo Alto, Stanford University, Sunnyvale, San Jose, Los Gatos, Alma, Coyote, Coyote Creek), Santa Cruz (Glenwood, Corralitos, Soquel), San Benito (San Juan), Monterey (Monterey, Carmel, Bradley, Soledad, Coburn, Welby, Metz), San Luis Obispo (San Miguel, Pismo, Edna, Indian Creek, San Juan

River, source of the Salinas River), and Santa Barbara (Santa Cruz Island) counties.

Variation.-One specimen has no loreal plates; the other one hundred and three all have the normal 1-1. The preoculars are $2-2$ in seventy-nine, or $78 \% ; 1-1$ in seventeen, or $16 \%$; and $1-2$ in six, or $6 \%$. The postoculars are 3-3 in seventy-one, or $69 \% ; 3-4$ in eighteen, or $17 \%$; 4-4 in eleven, or $11 \%$; 4-5 in one, or $1 \% ; 2-3$ in one, or $1 \%$; and $2-2$ in one, or $1 \%$. The temporals are 4-4 in thirty-nine, or $38 \%$; 3-3 in twenty-six, or $25 \% ; 3-4$ in twenty-one, or $20 \% ; 4-5$ in nine, or $9 \% ; 2-3$ in three, or $3 \% ; 2-2$ in three, or $3 \% ; 5-5$ in one, or $1 \%$; and $2-4$ in one, or $1 \%$. The supralabials are $8-8$ in fifty-six, or $54 \%$; $8-9$ in twenty-seven, or $26 \%$; 9-9 in fourteen, or $14 \%$; 9-10 in three, or $3 \% ; 7-8$ in two, or $2 \% ; 10-10$ in one, or $1 \%$. The infralabials are $13-13$ in thirty-two, or $31 \% ; 12-12$ in twentytwo, or $21 \% ; 12-13$ in nineteen, or $18 \% ; 11-12$ in nine, or $9 \%$; $13-14$ in seven, or $7 \% ; 11-11$ in six, or $6 \% ; 11-13$ in three, or $3 \% ; 10-10$ in three, or $3 \% ; 14-14$ in one, or $1 \%$; and $10-11$ in one, or $1 \%$. The scale-rows are 31 in sixty-nine, or $68 \%$; 33 in twenty, or $20 \%$; and 29 in twelve, or $12 \%$; the average is 31.1 rows. The gastrosteges vary in number from 200 to 230, males having from 207 to 230 , females from 200 to 230 ; the average in fifty-four males is 217 , in forty-six females is 220 . The urosteges vary from 53 to 79 ; males having from 59 to 79 , females from 53 to 78 ; the average in fifty-three males is 69 , in forty-five females, 64.

The dark blotches between head and anus in seventy-five specimens vary from 56 to 93 , the average being 70. On the tail, in eighty-three specimens, they vary from 14 to 30 , and average 21.4.

The counts of blotches in the various specimens are shown below.

| Number | Blotches on |  | Locality | Number | Blotches on |  | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Body | Tail |  |  | Body | Tail |  |
| C 1589 |  |  | 1 | 43434 | 64 | 23 | 52 |
| C 2314 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 | S 1165 |  |  | 53 |
| C 2434 | $\cdot$ |  | 1 | 40413 | 63 | 20 | 54 |
| C 1626 | 66 | 22 | 1 | S 4026 |  |  | 55 |
| C 848 | 79 | 22 | 1 | S 1799 | 71 | 27 | 55 |
| $43452$ | 57 | 18 | 3 | S 1798 | 69 | 24 | 55 |
| C 849 | 56 | 17 | 4 | S 1808 | 87 | 28 | 55 |
| $43519$ | 70 | 21 | 5 | S 4017 | 71 | 19 | 55 |
| C 4019 | 67 | 20 | 6 | S 1150 | 63 | 21 | 55 |
| C 4018 | 68 | 21 | 6 | 41667 | 66 | 22 | 56 |
| C 5614 | 64 | 18 | 7 | S 1119 | 71 | 19 | 57 |
| C 4017 | 59 | 21 | 8 | S 1118 | 63 | 21 | 57 |
| S 4220 |  |  | 15 | S 1773 | 63 | 15 | 57 |
| S 1697 | 66 | 21 | 16 | S 1168 | 67 | 20 | 57 |
| S 1741 | 70 | 18 | 16 | S 1117 | 71 | 21 | 57 |
| 30888 |  | 23 | 17 | S 5806 | 73 | 17 | 57 |
| C 5285 | 74 | 23 | 19 | S 1749 | 59 | 18 | 57 |
| 27326 | 77 | 24 | 20 | S 1748 | 64 | 23 | 57 |
| C 975 | 74 | 22 | 21 | S 1752 | 67 | 24 | 57 |
| C 4845 | 68 | 20 | 22 | S 7195 |  |  | 57 |
| C 5283 | 77 | 28 | 23 | S 1167 |  |  | 57 |
| C 5282 | 93 | 28 | 23 | S 4042 |  |  | 57 |
| 43377 | 67 | 19 | 33 | S 4047 |  |  | 57 |
| 13766 | 80 | 23 | 34 | S 4044 |  |  | 57 |
| 13767 | 85 | 25 | 34 | S 4045 |  |  | 57 |
| 13768 | 78 | 20 | 34 | S 4043 |  | $\ldots$ | 57 |
| 13769 | 75 | 22 | 34 | S 1747 |  | $\cdots$ | 57 |
| 13770 | 84 | 22 | 34 | S 1171 |  |  | 57 |
| 17858 | 68 | 27 | 34 | S 1169 | 57 | 22 | 57 |
| 43375 | 76 | 22 | 35 | S 1751 | 58 | 14 | 57 |
| 43376 | 66 | 19 | 35 | 41671 | 61 | 21 | 57 |
| 43321 | 72 | 18 | 36 | 43440 | 69 | 21 | 58 |
| 43322 | 69 | 20 | 36 | S 4092 | 73 | 25 | 59 |
| 43379 | 69 | 20 | 37 | S 1681 | 82 | 27 | 60 |
| 43373 | 67 | 19 | 38 | S 1772 | 84 | 26 | 60 |
| 43374 | 70 | 24 | 38 | 36061 | 66 | 21 | 61 |
| C 4312 |  |  | 39 | S 1740 | 62 | 20 | 62 |
| 43412 | 71 | 23 | 41 | 43523 | 71 | 23 | 63 |
| 39261 | 62 | 20 | 42 | 27942 | 74 | 27 | 64 |
| 43274 | 78 | 25 | 44 | C 4911 | 84 | 30 | 65 |
| 43418 | 62 | 22 | 45 | C 4131 | 74 | 23 | 66 |
| 43419 | 72 | 20 | 45 | C 5284 | 75 | 23 | 68 |
| 43364 | 85 | 22 | 46 | 29492 | 71 | 21 | 70 |
| 43365 | 66 | 22 | 46 | S 4062 |  |  | 71 |
| 43413 | 49 | 16 | 47 | 44194 | 69 | 20 | 73 |
| 43414 | 74 | 19 | 48 | 44943 | 77 | 23 | 76 |
| 43382 | 73 | 22 | 49 | 45119 | 63 |  | 41 |
| 36120 | 66 | 18 | 50 | 45120 | 88 | 24 | 77 |
| 36121 | 56 | 17 | 50 | 45121 | 72 | 19 | 77 |
| 45131 | 70 | 23 | 50 | 45127 | 71 | 24 | 78 |
| C 6166 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |

# Pituophis catenifer heermanni (Hallowell) 

Valley Gopher-Snake

(Plate 1, fig. 1)
Diagnosis.-Gastrosteges average fewer than 225 ; urosteges average 60 to 68 ; scale-rows most frequently 31 ; preoculars usually two ; supralabials most frequently eight ; dorsal blotches fewer, average on body 58 , on tail 15 ; no red in coloration.

Type locality.-Cosumnes River, California.
Distribution.-The Klamath region, Oregon, and in California, Modoc County, the Sacramento Valley, the northern part of the San Joaquin Valley, and the western slope of the Sierra Nevada. In Oregon it has been taken near Klamath Falls, Klamath County. Californian specimens have been collected in Modoc (Canby, Goose Lake Meadows, Sugar Hill, between Alturas and Davis Creek, Dry Creek in the Warner Mts.) , probably Shasta (McCloud River), Tehama (Tehama), Glenn (Fruto, Winslow), Butte (between Live Oak and Gridley), Yolo (Grand Island Landing), Placer (Lander near Colfax), El Dorado (Fyffe, Riverton), San Joaquin (Tracy), Merced (Los Baños, Snelling), Mariposa (between Kinsley and Maculey's Stage Station, Coulterville, Pleasant Valley), Madera (vic. Madera), and Fresno (King's River, Dunlaps, Clovis) counties.

Variation.-The loreal is $1-1$ in all of the twenty-eight specimens. The preoculars are 2-2 in twenty-six, or $93 \%$; and 1-1 in two, or $7 \%$. The postoculars are 3-3 in twenty-three, or $85 \%$; 4-4 in three, or $11 \%$; and $4-5$ in one, or $4 \%$. The temporals are 4-4 in eleven, or $45 \% ; 3-4$ in five, or $21 \% ; 5-5$ in three, or $13 \%$; 3-3 in three, or $13 \%$; 4-6 in one, or $4 \%$; and $2-3$ in one, or $4 \%$. The supralabials are $8-8$ in twelve, or $48 \%$; $8-9$ in eight, or $32 \%$; and $7-7,7-8,9-9,9-10$, and $10-10$, each in one, or $4 \%$. The infralabials are 13-13 in twelve, or $50 \%$; $12-12$ in six, or $25 \% ; 14-14$ in two, or $8 \% ; 12-13$ in two, or $8 \%$; and $12-14$ and $11-14$ each in one, or $4 \%$. The scale rows are 31 in thirteen, or $46 \% ; 33$ in eight, or $29 \% ; 29$ in six, or $21 \%$; and 35 in one, or $4 \%$; the average is 31.3 rows. The gastrosteges vary in number from 209 to 231, males having from 209 to 231 , females from 218 to 231 ; the average in six-
teen males is 219 , in twelve females, 224 . The urosteges vary from 55 to 74 , males having from 61 to 74 , females from 55 to 66 ; the average in fifteen males is 68 , in eleven females is 60 .

The dark blotches between head and anus in twenty-eight specimens vary from 48 to 70 , the average being 57.6. On the tail, in thirty-two specimens, they vary from 13 to 19 , and average 15. The counts of the blotches are shown below.

| Number | Blotches on |  | Locality | Number | Blotches on |  | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Body | Tail |  |  | Body | Tail |  |
| C 4012 | 70 | 23 | 2 | C 3608 | 54 | 14 | 27 |
| S 5631 | 61 | 19 | 9 | C 5595 | 59 | 15 | 28 |
| S 5633 | 59 | 23 | 9 | C 2080 | 63 | 24 | 29 |
| 39637 | 62 | 19 | 10 | C 2081 | 60 | 17 | 30 |
| 27333 | 63 | 19 | 11 | C 2082 | 56 | 19 | 31 |
| C 6264 |  |  | 12 | C 2083 | 56 | 20 | 32 |
| C 4016 | 55 | 16 | 13 | S 6500 |  |  | 40 |
| C 4015 | 57 | 14 | 14 | 43521 | 48 | 15 | 43 |
| C 4014 | 63 | 21 | 14 | 43522 | 56 | 15 | 43 |
| 41670 | 50 | 16 | 18 | C 2759 | 57 |  | 43 |
| C 5883 | 54 |  | 24 | C 4013 | 63 | 20 | 67 |
| C 5884 | 55 | 17 | 24 | C 4011 | 58 | 19 | 69 |
| C 5885 | 53 | 14 | 25 | 20413 | 65 | 16 | 72 |
| C 5886 | 51 | 17 | 26 | 44161 | 58 | 18 | 74 |
| 41699 | 50 | 15 | 27 | 44241 | 57 | 18 | 75 |

## Pituophis catenifer annectens (Baird \& Girard)

San Diegan Gopher-Snake
(Plate 1, fig. 2)
Diagnosis.-Gastrosteges average more than 225 ; urosteges numerous, average 70 to 76 ; scale-rows most frequently 33 ; preoculars usually two; supralabials most frequently eight; dorsal blotches many, average on body 74, on tail 22.8; no red in coloration.

Type locality.-San Diego, California.
Distribution.-This subspecies occupies the coast region of southern California and northern Lower California, and has been found on some of the islands off the coast. We have examined specimens from Santa Barbara (Santa Barbara), Ventura (Pine Creek), Los Angeles (Charter Oak, Cold Water Canyon, La Crescenta, Pasadena, Mt. Wilson, Sierra Madre),

San Bernardino (Ontario), Riverside (Colton, San Bernardino Mts., Riverside, San Jacinto, San Jacinto Mts.), and San Diego (Warner Pass, Agua Caliente, Cahuilla Valley, Julian, Cuyamaca Mts., Campo), counties, California, and from Ensenada, San Martin Island, and South Coronado Island, Lower California.

It is probably this subspecies of gopher-snake which has been observed, but not captured, on Santa Catalina Island. Those of Santa Cruz Island, however, are Pituophis catenifer catenifer.

Variation.-Sixty-nine specimens all have loreals $1-1$. The preoculars are $2-2$ in forty-four, or $64 \%$; $1-1$ in twenty, or $29 \%$; and 1-2 in five, or $7 \%$. The postoculars are 3-3 in forty, or $59 \% ; 4-4$ in thirteen, or $19 \% ; 3-4$ in eleven, or $16 \%$; and $2-3,2-4,4-5$, and $5-5$, each in one. The temporals are 4-4 in twenty-three, or $34 \% ; 3-3$ in fifteen, or $22 \% ; 3-4$ in twelve, or $18 \% ; 4-5$ in five, or $7 \% ; 5-5$ in five, or $7 \% ; 3-5$ in two, or $3 \%$; and $2-2,2-3,2-4,4-6$, and $5-6$, each in one. The supralabials are 8-8 in thirty-three, or $48 \%$; 9-9 in nineteen, or $27 \%$; and $8-9$ in seventeen, or $25 \%$. The infralabials are 13-13 in thirty-four, or $49 \% ; 13-14$ in ten, or $14 \%: 12-12$ in eight, or $12 \% ; 12-13$ in seven, or $10 \% ; 14-14$ in three, or $4 \% ; 11-13$ in two, or $3 \%$; and $10-11,11-11,11-12,12-14$, and $14-15$, each in one. The scale-rows are 33 in thirty-seven, or $54 \%$; 31 in twenty-five, or $36 \% ; 35$ in six, or $9 \%$; and 29 in one, or $1 \%$; the average is 32.4 rows. The gastrosteges vary in number from 217 to 243 , males having from 217 to 243 , females from 218 to 240 ; the average in forty-three males is 228 , in twenty-five females, 231 . The urosteges vary from 62 to 85 , males having from 62 to 85 , females from 62 to 83 ; the average in thirty-nine males is 76 , in twenty-four females, 70 .

The dark blotches between head and anus in seventy-one specimens vary from 54 to 89 , the average being 74 . On the tail in sixty-five specimens they vary from 14 to 29 . and average 22.8. The counts of the blotches are shown in full below.

| Number | Blotches on |  | Locality | Number | Blotches on |  | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Body | Tail |  |  | Body | Tail |  |
| 8575 | 85 | 23 | 1 | S 1163 |  |  | 37 |
| 8678 | 69 | 19 | 2 | S 1162 | 79 | 27 | 37 |
| 13588 | 63 | 19 | 3 | S 4050 | 71 | 21 | 38 |
| 13589 | 63 | 21 | 3 | 40060 | 69 | 25 | 39 |
| S 5163 | 65 | 20 | 17 | 40061 | 82 | 24 | 39 |
| 38918 | 73 | 22 | 18 | 40062 | 75 | 22 | 39 |
| 40003 | 83 | 22 | 19 | 40063 | 84 | 27 | 39 |
| C 4311 | 73 | 21 | 20 | 40064 | 82 | 24 | 39 |
| C 749 | 74 | 23 | 21 | 40065 | 79 | 25 | 39 |
| C 750 | 67 | 20 | 21 | 40066 | 75 | 19 | 39 |
| C 4313 | 78 | 21 | 22 | 40067 | 77 | 20 | 39 |
| C 4310 | 69 | 21 | 22 | 40068 | 81 | 28 | 39 |
| 27534 | 65 | 20 | 22 | 40069 | 73 | 23 | 39 |
| 27774 | 71 | 18 | 22 | 40070 | 77 | 24 | 39 |
| 27806 | 76 | 25 | 22 | 40071 | 73 | 19 | 39 |
| C 75 | 73 | 20 | 24 | 40072 | 69 | 21 | 39 |
| S 1197 | 81 | 24 | 25 | 40073 | 69 | 22 | 39 |
| S 1164 | 71 | 26 | 25 | 40074 | 79 | 25 | 39 |
| S 1784 | 61 | 18 | 25 | 40075 | 71 | 21 | 39 |
| S 1166 | 72 | 22 | 25 | 40076 | 80 | 26 | 39 |
| S 1122 | 65 | 24 | 25 | 40077 | 78 | 26 | 39 |
| S 4008 | 74 | 26 | 25 | 40078 | 72 | 20 | 39 |
| S 1146 | 75 | 26 | 25 | 40079 | 81 |  | 39 |
| S 1135 | 78 | 29 | 25 | 40080 | 76 | 24 | 39 |
| S 1750 | 68 | 22 | 25 | 40081 | 79 | 24 | 39 |
| C 104 | 89 | 29 | 26 | 40082 | 76 | 19 | 39 |
| C 553 | 75 | 20 | 26 | C 623 | 75 | 24 | 40 |
| C 343 | 72 | 24 | 26 | C 622 | 72 | 19 | 41 |
| C 551 | 87 | 26 | 26 | S 1160 | 79 | 26 | 42 |
| C 552 | 78 | 23 | 26 | S 1149 | 77 | 26 | 42 |
| S 5240 |  |  | 28 | S 1155 | 73 | 21 | 42 |
| S 6464 | 78 | 20 | 29 | C 1040 | 79 | 28 | 43 |
| S 4291 | 81 | 21 | 31 | C 3819 | 71 |  | 44 |
| S 4268 | 80 | 24 | 31 | 43520 | 80 | 24 | 45 |

## Pituophis catenifer deserticola Stejneger

## Desert Gopher-Snake

Diagnosis.-Gastrosteges average more than 230; urosteges average 59 to 64 ; scale-rows usually 31 or 33 ; preoculars usually two ; supralabials most frequently eight ; dorsal blotches fewer, average on body 56, on tail 15.4 ; sometimes some orange, but not distinct red, in coloration.

Type locality.-The Great Basin and the southwestern deserts.

Distribution.-The Colorado and Mohave deserts, the southern part of the San Joaquin Valley, eastern San Luis Obispo

County, the valleys east of the Sierra Nevada in California, and probably nearly all of Nevada; possibly Idaho and eastern Washington.

From Nevada, I have examined specimens of this subspecies from Humboldt (Thousand Creek Flat, Virgin Valley, Big Creek Pine Forest Mountains), Washoe (Pyramid Lake, Nixon), Ormsby (Carson), Lander (Austin), Elko (Carlin) and Esmeralda (Palmetto Mountains), counties.

Californian specimens examined have been collected in Imperial (Silsbee), Riverside (Mecca), San Bernardino (Victorville, Hesperia), Mono (Benton), Kern (Walker Pass, Tehachapi Mountains, Isabella, Delano, Bakersfield, Buttonwillow), and San Luis Obispo (Simmler, Pozo, Palo Prieto, Shandon) counties.

Variation.-Twenty-eight specimens from California and western Nevada show the following variations: The loreals are $1-1$ in all. The preoculars are $2-2$ in twenty-four, or $86 \%$; $1-2$ in two, or $7 \%$; and $1-1$ in two, or $7 \%$. The postoculars are $3-3$ in twenty-two, or $79 \%$; 4-4 in five, or $18 \%$; and $3-4$ in one, or $3 \%$. The temporals are 3-4 in eleven, or $39 \%$; 4-4 in five, or $18 \%$; 4-5 in four, or $14 \% ; 5-5$ in three, or $11 \%$; and $2-3,3-3,3-5,5-6$, and $6-6$, each in one, or $3 \%$. The supralabials are $8-8$ in seventeen, or $61 \% ; 8-9$ in six, or $21 \% ; 9-9$ in four, or $14 \%$; and $8-10$ in one, or $4 \%$. The infralabials are 13-13 in thirteen, or $46 \% ; 12-12$ in six, or $21 \% ; 12-13$ in four, or $14 \% ; 12-14$ in two, or $7 \% ; 13-14$ in two, or $7 \%$; and $14-14$ in one, or $4 \%$. The scale-rows are 33 in eleven, or $39 \%$; 31 in eleven, or $39 \%$; 35 in three, or $11 \%$; 29 in two, or $7 \%$; and 37 in one, or $4 \%$; the average is 32.3 rows. The gastrosteges vary in number from 223 to 263, males having from 224 to 252 , females from 223 to 263 ; the average in twelve males is 234 , in sixteen females, 239 . The urosteges vary from 50 to 72 , males having from 58 to 72 , females from 50 to 67 ; the average in twelve males is 64 , in fifteen females, 59.

The dark blotches between head and anus in twenty-eight specimens vary from 46 to 66 , the average being 55 . On the tail they vary from 12 to 21 , and average 15.4. The counts of these blotches are shown in full below.

| Number | Blotches on |  | Locality | Number | Blotches on |  | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Body | Tail |  |  | Body | Tail |  |
| C 1003 | 52 | 12 | 10 | 43383 | 66 | 18 | 33 |
| C 2761 | 54 | 15 | 11 | 43347 | 60 | 18 | 34 |
| C 2760 | 52 | 16 | 11 | 43429 | 50 | 15 | 35 |
| 39553 | 55 | 17 | 12 | C 2763 | 53 | 17 | 36 |
| 43381 | 56 | 16 | 13 | C 2764 | 56 | 17 | 36 |
| 39595 | 62 | 21 | 14 | S 5649 | 50 | 14 | 48 |
| 38958 | 56 | 19 | 15 | C 1529 | 53 | 13 | 49 |
| 38959 | 55 | 16 | 15 | C 1528 | 51 | 14 | 49 |
| C 2798 | 47 | 13 | 16 | C 1274 | 51 | 15 | 50 |
| C 3716 | 46 | 12 | 23 | C 1275 | 52 | 14 | 51 |
| C 3715 | 63 | 15 | 23 | C 1276 | 54 | 16 | 51 |
| C 469 | 62 | 16 | 27 | S | 53 | 14 | 53 |
| C 470 | 56 | 15 | 27 | S | 54 | 14 | 54 |
| C 471 | 54 | 12 | 27 | S 6406 | 61 | 15 | 55 |
| 36285 C 5365 | ${ }_{5}^{64}$ |  | 30 | 40504 | 58 | 17 | 55 |
| C 3365 | 52 | 14 | 32 |  |  |  |  |

Pituophis catenifer stejnegeri, new subspecies

Utah Gopher-Snake

(Plate 2, fig. 1)
Diagnosis.-Gastrosteges average more than 230; urosteges average 60 to 66; scale-rows most frequently 29 ; preocular most often single; supralabials usually eight; dorsal blotches fewer, average on body 58, on tail 16.5 ; no distinct red in coloration.

Type.-Cal. Acad. Sci. No. 14203, adult male, collected by Joseph C. Clemens, at Fort Douglas, Salt Lake County, Utah, June to July 4, 1908.

Description.-Head somewhat flat-topped, with snout projecting and rather narrow. Temporal regions not swollen. Rostral plate very large, prominent, not very narrow, often recurved between internasals on top of snout; bounded behind by internasal, anterior nasal, and first labial plates. Plates on top of head are a pair of internasals, a variable number of prefrontals (normally four), a frontal, supraocular of each side, and a pair of parietals. Anterior and posterior nasals usually distinct. Loreal usually elongate. Preoculars usually one, occasionally two. Postoculars usually three, often two. Supra-
labials usually eight, often nine. Infralabials usually 13, often 12 , sometimes 11 or 14 . Temporals of first row varying from two to five, usually four. Genials in two pairs, anterior larger. Scales on body in 27 to 33 rows, usually 29, keeled except in a varying number of rows on each side. Anal plate not divided. Gastrosteges varying in number from 223 to 241, males having from 227 to 241 , females from 223 to 240 . Urosteges in two series of from 55 to 71 , males having from 58 to 71 , females from 55 to 62 .

The ground color is pale brownish or grayish-yellow, sometimes more or less obscured by the spreading of the blotches or the presence of black or dark brown marks along the keels of its scales. Along the middle of the back, from the head to a point over the anus, is a series of from 50 to 68 (average 58) dark blotches. These blotches are brown on the central part of the body but are black anteriorly and posteriorly. On the upper surface of the tail are from fourteen to twenty (average 16.5) blackish blotches. On the anterior portion of the body the blotches are more or less rounded, but posteriorly they tend to become quadrate. There are several series of alternating, often more or less confluent, dark blotches or spots on the sides. Across the top of the head, between the preocular plates, is a moderately narrow brown band, very definite and well-defined. There are similar bands or spots below the center of the eye and running back and down from the upper postocular plate. The top of the head posteriorly has a few, small, scattered, dark spots. The spaces between the dark dorsal blotches on the posterior portion of the body are yellow or orange-yellow, usually somewhat obscured by dark brown streaks along the keels of the scales. The lower surfaces are yellow or yellowish-white with irregular spots or blotches of dark brown or black on the gastrosteges and urosteges. There is no definite median subcaudal black band.

| Length to anus. . | 758 | 800 | 863 | 1028 | 1125 | 1125 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Length of tail. . | 126 | 148 | 168 | 179 | 190 | 207 |

Variation.-Twenty-nine specimens from Utah show the following variations: The loreals are $1-1$ in all. The preoculars are $1-1$ in twenty-one, or $87 \%$; and $2-2$ in three, or $13 \%$
of those undamaged. The postoculars are 3-3 in twelve, or $52 \% ; 2-2$ in ten, or $43 \%$; and $2-3$ in one, or $4 \%$. The temporals are $3-4$ in ten, or $43 \%$; 4-4 in seven, or $31 \% ; 4-5$ in three, or $13 \% ; 3-3$ in one, or $4 \% ; 2-3$ in one, or $4 \%$; and 3-5 in one, or $4 \%$. The supralabials are $8-8$ in thirteen, or $56 \%$; $8-9$ in five, or $22 \%$; and $9-9$ in five, or $22 \%$. The infralabials are $13-13$ in eight, or $38 \% ; 12-13$ in five, or $24 \% ; 12-12$ in four, or $18 \% ; 11-11$ in two, or $9 \% ; 11-12$ in one, or $5 \%$; and $13-14$ in one, or $5 \%$. The scale-rows are 29 in fifteen, or $56 \%$; 31 in seven, or $26 \%$; and 27 in five, or $18 \%$; the average is 29 rows. The gastrosteges vary in number from 223 to 241, males having from 227 to 241 , females from 223 to 240 ; the average in twenty-two males is 233 , in five females, 235 . The urosteges vary from 55 to 71 , males having from 58 to 71 , females from 55 to 62 ; the average in twenty-three males is 66 , in five females, 60.

The dark blotches between head and anus in twenty-nine specimens vary from 50 to 68 , the average being 58 . On the tail they vary from 14 to 20 , and average 16.5 . The counts of the blotches are given in full below.

| Number | Blotches on |  | Locality | Number | Blotches on |  | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Body | Tail |  |  | Body | Tail |  |
| 40961 | 66 | 16 | 56 |  | 14194 | 56 | 14 |
| 14207 | 54 | 14 | 57 | 57 |  |  |  |
| 27198 | 64 | 17 | 57 | 14195 | 50 | 14 | 57 |
| 27199 | 52 | 15 | 57 | 14196 | 58 | 15 | 57 |
| 30913 | 51 | 16 | 57 | 14197 | 63 | 19 | 57 |
| 30914 | 60 | 18 | 57 | 14198 | 59 | 16 | 57 |
| 30915 | 61 | 20 | 57 | 14199 | 50 | 16 | 57 |
| 30916 | 61 | 17 | 57 | 14200 | 54 | 19 | 57 |
| 30917 | 54 | 16 | 57 | 14201 | 57 | 19 | 57 |
| 30918 | 61 | 16 | 57 | 14202 | 56 | 18 | 57 |
| 30919 | 63 | 16 | 57 | 14203 | 61 | 16 | 57 |
| 30920 | 53 | 18 | 57 | 14204 | 54 | 14 | 57 |
| 38756 | 63 | 18 | 57 | 14205 | 58 | 16 | 57 |
| 38757 | 60 | 14 | 57 | 14206 | 54 | 16 | 57 |
| 14193 | 66 | 18 | 57 | 38755 | 68 | 17 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Distribution.-Specimens of this subspecies are at hand from Thompson, Grand County, Wasatch Mountains, Wasatch County, and Fort Douglas, Salt Lake County, Utah.

Remarks.-Three snakes from Boise, Ada County, and Blue Lakes, Twin Falls County, Idaho, and one from Wallula,

Walla Walla County, Washington, may belong here rather than with $P$. catenifer deserticola, but their final disposition must await additional material. They have twenty-nine and thirty-one scale-rows and one or two preoculars ( $50 \%$ each), and gastrosteges from 231 to 244.

# Pituophis catenifer rutilus, new subspecies 

Arizona Gopher-Snake

(Plate 2, fig. 2)
Diagnosis.-Gastrosteges numerous, average more than 225 ; urosteges average 57 to 63 ; scale-rows most frequently 33 ; preocular normally single ; supralabials usually eight ; dorsal blotches very few, average on body 46 , on tail 12.5 ; coloration often somewhat reddish posteriorly.

Type.-Cal. Acad. Sci. No. 33869, adult female, collected by J. R. Slevin at Tucson, Pima Co., Arizona, April 11, 1912.

Description.-Head somewhat flat-topped, with snout projecting and rather narrow. Temporal regions not swollen. Rostral plate very large, prominent, not very narrow, often recurved between internasals on top of snout; bounded behind by internasal, anterior nasal, and first labial plates. Plates on top of head are a pair of internasals, a variable number of prefrontals (normally four), a frontal, supraocular of each side, and a pair of parietals. Anterior and posterior nasals usually distinct. Loreal usually elongate. Preocular usually one, occasionally two. Postoculars usually three, often four, sometimes five. Supralabials usually eight, often nine, rarely 10. Infralabials usually 12 , often 13 , sometimes 11 or 14 . Temporals of first row varying from two to five, usually four. Genials in two pairs, anterior larger. Scales on body in 29 to 35 rows, usually 33 , keeled except in a varying number of rows on each side. Anal plate not divided. Gastrosteges varying in number from 222 to 258 , males having from 222 to 237 , females from 227 to 258 . Urosteges in two series of from 52 to 68 , males having from 57 to 68 , females from 52 to 60 .

The ground color is pale yellow or grayish-yellow, sometimes more or less obscured by the spreading of the blotches
or the presence of dark keels on the scales, especially laterally and anteriorly. Along the middle of the back, from the head to a point over the anus, is a series of from 37 to 55 (average 46) reddish-brown blotches. The brown of these blotches becomes darker and redder posteriorly. These blotches often are margined with black. On the upper surface of the tail are from ten to fourteen (average 12.5) dark reddish-brown blotches or cross bands. On the anterior part of the body the blotches tend to be more or less rounded, posteriorly they are more quadrate, or are wider with concave anterior and posterior borders. There are two or three series of alternating, often more or less confluent, dark blotches or spots on the sides. Across the top of the head between the preocular plates is a narrow brown band, more or less obsolete in adults. There are similar bands or spots below the center of the eye and running back and down from the upper postocular plate. The top of the head is light brownish-yellow, speckled with black. The spaces between the dark dorsal blotches on the posterior part of the body are light yellowish or grayish-orange, usually without dark marks on the keels of the scales. The lower surfaces are yellow or yellowish-white, with irregular spots or blotches of light or dark brown on the gastrosteges and urosteges. There is no definite median subcaudal dark band.

| Length to anus. . . . . . . | 456 | 1050 | 1115 | 1130 | 1140 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Length of tail. . . . . . | 68 | 154 | 153 | 183 | 165 |

Variation.-Sixteen specimens from Arizona show the following variations: The loreals are $1-1$ in all. The preoculars are $1-1$ in ten, or $62 \%$; 2-2 in five, or $31 \%$; and $1-2$ in one, or $6 \%$. The postoculars are 3-3 in six, or $37 \%$; 3-4 in five, or $31 \% ; 4-4$ in four, or $25 \%$; and $4-5$ in one, or $6 \%$. The temporals are $4-4$ in seven, or $47 \%$; 3-3 in three, or $20 \% ; 3-4$ in two, or $13 \%$; 4-5 in two, or $13 \%$; and $2-3$ in one, or $7 \%$. The supralabials are $8-8$ in eight, or $50 \%$; 8-9 in three, or $19 \%$; $9-9$ in three, or $19 \%$; 9-10 in one, or $6 \%$; and 8-10 in one, or $6 \%$. The infralabials are $12-12$ in nine, or $60 \% ; 13-13$ in three, or $20 \% ; 13-14$ in one, or $6 \% ; 14-14$ in one, or $6 \%$; and $11-11$ in one, or $6 \%$. The scale rows are 33 in eight, or $50 \%$; 31 in six, or $37 \%$; 29 in one, or $6 \%$; and 35 in one, or $6 \%$; the average is 32.1 rows. The gastrosteges vary in number from

222 to 258, males having from 222 to 237, females from 227 to 258 ; the average in six males is 227 , in nine females, 237. The urosteges vary from 52 to 68 , males having from 57 to 68 , females from 52 to 60 ; the average in six males is 63.5 , in nine females, 57.

The dark blotches between head and anus in 16 specimens vary from 37 to 55 , the average being 46 . On the tail they vary from 10 to 14 , and average 12.5 . The counts of these blotches are given in full below.

| Number | Blotches on |  | Locality | Number | Blotches on |  | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Body | Tail |  |  | Body | Tail |  |
| 34755 | 39 | 10 | 4 | 33869 | 48 | 13 | 8 |
| 17541 | 50 | 12 | 5 | 33870 | 44 | 13 | 8 |
| 17546 | 40 | 11 | 5 | 33447 | 54 | 13 | 9 |
| 17547 | 45 | 13 | 5 | $\mathrm{C}^{\text {c }}$ | 43 | 12 |  |
| C 1824 | 54 | 14 | 6 | C | 50 | 14 |  |
| S 1131 | 55 | 13 | 7 | C | 37 |  |  |
| S 1705 | 42 | 13 | 7 | C | 51 | 13 |  |
| S 1714 | 42 | 11 | 7 | C | 46 | 14 |  |

Distribution.-I have examined specimens of the Arizona Gopher-Snake taken at Yuma, Yuma County, the Colorado River above Bill Williams River, Mohave County, Cave Creek, Maricopa County, Fort Lowell and the Santa Cruz River near Tucson, Pima County, and the Huachuca Mountains, Cochise County, Arizona. Specimens of Pituophis from Arizona have been recorded as collected at Oak Orchard, Camp Grant, Wilton Springs, Tucson, Gila River, White River Canyon, Fort Whipple, Grand Canyon, and at Las Gijas in Pima County.

Remarks.-The specimens from Mohave and Yuma counties, and a specimen from Silsbee, Imperial County, California (referred to $P$. c. deserticola) show more or less intergradation between the Arizona and the Desert Gopher-Snakes. These specimens have very many gastrosteges, while those from extreme southeastern Arizona have fewer. Indeed, some of the latter have so few as to indicate intergradation with the gophersnakes of New Mexico which Ruthven has referred to $P$. c. sayi, but which may possibly require recognition as a distinct subspecies, $P$. sayi affinis (Hallowell).

Habits.-Ruthven states that a large specimen, secured near Tucson about sun-down on August 22, had recently swallowed an adult ground squirrel. These snakes live both on the desert plains near sea level and in mountain cañons to an altitude of at least 5,300 feet.

## Pituophis vertebralis (Blainville)

## San Lucan Gopher-Snake

Diagnosis.-Gastrosteges average more than 240; urosteges average 62 ; scale rows most frequently 35 ; preoculars usually two; supralabials usually nine or 10 ; dorsal blotches very few, average on body 44 , on tail 11 ; much red in coloration.

Type locality.-"California."
Distribution.-The southern half of the peninsula of Lower California, Mexico.

Variation.-Fourteen specimens show the following variations: The loreal is $1-1$ in all counted (six). The preoculars are $2-2$ in thirteen, or $93 \% ; 1-1$ in one, or $7 \%$. The postoculars are 3-3 in fourteen, or $100 \%$. The temporals in five specimens are 4-4 in three, or $60 \%$; 3-4 in one, or $20 \%$, and $4-5$ in one, or $20 \%$. The supralabials are 9-9 in seven, or $50 \%$; 9-10 in five, or $36 \%$; 8-9 in one, or $7 \%$; and $8-10$ in one, or $7 \%$. The infralabials are 12-12 in seven, or $50 \%$; 13-13 in three, or $22 \% ; 13-14$ in two, or $14 \%$; 12-13 in one, or $7 \%$; and $14-16$ in one, or $7 \%$. The scale-rows are 35 in seven, or $54 \%$; 33 in four, or $31 \%$; 34 in one, or $7 \%$; and 31 in one, or $7 \%$; the average is 34 rows. The gastrosteges in fifteen specimens vary from 233 to 257 , the average being 244 ; two males average 242 , four females average 250 . The urosteges in fourteen specimens vary from 57 to 67 ; the average being 62 ; two males average 63 , and three females, 60 .

The dark blotches between head and anus in six specimens vary from 39 to 48 , the average being 44 . On the tail in six specimens they vary from 10 to 12 , and average 11 .

Counts of the dorsal blotches on the body and tail in six specimens are 45,$10 ; 39,11 ; 48,11 ; 43,10 ; 44,11$; and 45,12 .


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Gopher-Snakes of Western North America. $<$ Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci., 4th Ser., vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 197-220, pls. 11-13, August 21, 1919.

